



## CO<sub>2</sub> Capture: State of the Art

Kanti Kumar Athankar

Department of Chemical Engineering, Institute of Engineering & Science, IPS Academy Indore, India

**Received:** 5 July, 2018; **Accepted:** 6 July, 2018; **Published:** 8 July, 2018

**\*Corresponding Author:** Kanti Kumar Athankar, Department of Chemical Engineering, Institute of Engineering & Science, IPS Academy Indore, India. E-mail: [kanti.kumar@rediffmail.com](mailto:kanti.kumar@rediffmail.com)

**Copyright:** © 2018 Athankar KK. CO<sub>2</sub> Capture: State of the Art. Int J Org Inorg Chem; 1(1): 1-3.

### Editorial

The enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the atmosphere is directly proportional to the global warming. The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is more or less 280 to 400 ppm during pre-industrial era and expected to enlist >500 ppm by 2050 [1,2]. Emission at the current rate would lead the adverse effect in the future could be larger as compared to the last century [3]. World energy consumption will see a 48% increase from 2012 to 2040 and fossil fuel sources will still account for 78% of the world energy consumption in 2040 [3]. The Paris Accord bind countries towards reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by at least 50% are necessary to restrict the global temperature rise to 2°C by 2050[4]. Owing of hefty challenge, it is imperative to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel consumption. Overall cost and the required energy is the bottlenecks towards commercialize the CO<sub>2</sub> capture and storage process at large scale. Few technologies for instance physical or chemical solvent scrubbing, [5-7] gas membrane separation,

[8-13] pressure swing absorption, [14,15] surface absorption and adsorption, [16-19] metal organic frameworks, [20-27] amine based technology [28] have been applied to the CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Owing of the high energy consumption, storage, cost raised concerns towards widespread implementation of carbon capture storage. Recently, ionic liquids (ILs) have been emerging as potential contenders for CO<sub>2</sub> capture due to their superior physicochemical characteristics, including low melting point, high thermal stability, adjustable structure, and good recyclability [29,30]. However, the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in conventional ILs is limited due to the physical absorption. In order to achieve better performance, some special groups (e.g. -NH<sub>2</sub>, -OH) were introduced to the anion or the action of ILs. The amine-functionalized IL has been chosen as the most promising candidate for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.

At present, the energy penalty paid for the processes aimed to carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) is high. The current estimate is that one needs a carbon tax of ca.

\$70-100 per ton of CO<sub>2</sub> to recover the costs. Among the three steps constituting the CCS process (capture, transportation, and storage), capture constitutes the most energetically demanding, accounting for about 70-80% of the total cost. Implementation of CCS facilities would increasingly make available gig tons of CO<sub>2</sub> that must be disposed of. Sequestration, the last step in CCS, involves CO<sub>2</sub> long term storage in a subterranean or submarine site. As an alternative, recycling of CO<sub>2</sub> in valuable chemicals, such as, for example, fuels would have the double benefit to avoid the cost associated with the sequestration step and to valorize CO<sub>2</sub> as chemical feedstock.

Relevance of the technological and economic aspects for carbon capture has been discussed. The article covers broad aspects of carbon capture and separation, highlighting the importance of diverse approaches to foster the development of a carbon neutral society. The maturity of the field gives the important message that CCS is a technology that can be implemented tomorrow in a world for which reducing CO<sub>2</sub> should be one of the highest priorities. I hope, this article promote the readers to allowing a further and decisive development in this area.

## References

1. Seo S, Simoni LD, Ma M, et al. (2014) Phase-Change Ionic Liquids for Postcombustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture. *Energy Fuels*; 28(9): 5968-5977.
2. Jones N (2013) Troubling milestone for CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nat Geosci*; 6: 589-589.
3. International Energy Outlook 2016.
4. Cuellar-Franca RM, Azapagic AJ (2015) Carbon capture, storage and utilisation of technologies: A critical analysis and comparison of their life cycle environmental impacts. Citation formats. In: *Journal of CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization*; 9: 82-102.
5. Mumford KA, Wu Y, Smith KH, et al. (2015) Review of solvent based carbon-dioxide capture technologies. *Front Chem Sci Eng*; 9(2): 125-141.
6. Mirzaei S, Shamiri A, Aroua MK (2015) A review of different solvents, mass transfer, and hydrodynamics for postcombustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Rev Chem Eng*; 31(6) : 521-561.
7. Abdeen FRH, Mel M, Jami MS, et al. (2016) A review of chemical absorption of carbon dioxide for biogas upgrading. *Chin J Chem Eng*; 24(6): 693-702.
8. Luis P, Bruggen BVD (2013) The role of membranes in post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Greenhouse Gases: Sci Technol*; 3(5): 318-337.
9. Li L, Zhao N, Wei W, et al. (2013) A review of research progress on CO<sub>2</sub> capture, storage, and utilization in Chinese Academy of Sciences. *Fuel*; 108: 112-130.
10. Li M, Jiang XB, He GH (2014) Application of membrane separation technology in postcombustion carbon dioxide capture process. *Front Chem Sci Eng*; 8(2): 233-239.
11. Mulukutla T, Chau J, Singh D et al. (2015) Novel membrane contactor for CO<sub>2</sub> removal from flue gas by temperature swing absorption. *J Membr Sci*; 493: 321-328.
12. Li SG, Pyrzyński TJ, Klinghoffer NB, et al. (2017) Scale-up of PEEK hollow fiber membrane contactor for post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *J Membr Sci*; 527: 92-101.
13. Sreedhar I, Vaidhiswaran R, Kamani BM, et al. (2017) Process and engineering trends in membrane based carbon capture. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev*; 68: 659-684.

14. Leperi KT, Snurr RQ, You FQ (2016) Optimization of Two-Stage Pressure/Vacuum Swing Adsorption with Variable Dehydration Level for Postcombustion Carbon Capture. *Ind Eng Chem Res*; 55: 3338-3350.
15. Riboldi L, Bolland O (2016) Pressure swing adsorption for coproduction of power and ultrapure H<sub>2</sub> in an IGCC plant with CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*; 41(25): 10646-10660.
16. Yong Z, Mata V, Rodrigues AE (2002) Adsorption of carbon dioxide at high temperature—a review. *Sep Purif Technol*; 26(2-3): 195-205.
17. Khatri RA, Chuang SSC, Soong Y et al. (2006) Thermal and Chemical Stability of Regenerable Solid Amine Sorbent for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture. *Energy Fuels*; 20: 1514-1520.
18. Hicks JC, Drese JH, Fauth DJ, et al. (2008) Designing adsorbents for CO<sub>2</sub> capture from flue gas-hyperbranched aminosilicas capable of capturing CO<sub>2</sub> reversibly. *J Am Chem Soc*; 130(10): 2902-2903.
19. Samanta A, Zhao A, Shimizu GKH, et al. (2012) Post-Combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Using Solid Sorbents: A Review. *Ind Eng Chem Res*; 51: 1438-1463.
20. Bourrelly S, Llewellyn PL, Serre C, et al. (2005) Different Adsorption Behaviors of Methane and Carbon Dioxide in the Isotypic Nanoporous Metal Terephthalates MIL-53 and MIL-47. *J Am Chem Soc*; 127(39): 13519-13521.
21. Britt D, Furukawa H, Wang B, et al. (2009) Highly efficient separation of carbon dioxide by a metal-organic framework replete with open metal sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*; 106(49): 20637-20640.
22. Demessence A, D'Alessandro DM, Foo ML, et al. (2009) Strong CO<sub>2</sub> binding in a water-stable, triazolate-bridged metal-organic framework functionalized with ethylenediamine. *J Am Chem Soc*; 131(25): 8784-8786.
23. Herm ZR, Swisher JA, Smit B, et al. (2011) Metal–Organic Frameworks as Adsorbents for Hydrogen Purification and Precombustion Carbon Dioxide Capture. *J Am Chem Soc*; 133: 5664-5667.
24. Katsoulidis AP, Kanatzidis MG (2011) Phloroglucinol Based Microporous Polymeric Organic Frameworks with –OH Functional Groups and High CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Capacity. *Chem Mater*; 23(7): 1818-1824.
25. Li JR, Ma Y, McCarthy MC, et al. (2011) Carbon dioxide capture-related gas adsorption and separation in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem Rev*; 255(15-16): 1791-1823.
26. Liu J, Thallapally PK, McGrail BP, et al. (2012) Progress in adsorption-based CO<sub>2</sub> capture by metal–organic frameworks. *Chem Soc Rev*; 41: 2308-2322.
27. Sumida K, Rogow DL, Mason JA, et al. (2012) Carbon dioxide capture in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem Rev*; 112(2): 724-781.
28. Rochelle GT (2009) Amine scrubbing for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Science*; 325(5948): 1652-1654.
29. Hu P, Zhang R, Liu Z, et al. (2015) Absorption Performance and Mechanism of CO<sub>2</sub> in Aqueous Solutions of Amine-Based Ionic Liquids. *Energy Fuels*; 29(9): 6019-6204.
30. Zeng SJ, Wang J, Bai L, et al. (2015) Highly Selective Capture of CO<sub>2</sub> by Ether-Functionalized Pyridinium Ionic Liquids with Low Viscosity. *J Energy Fuels*; 29(9): 6039-6048.